

### Using innovative methods to assess development impacts and biodiversity offset requirements in NSW

#### 2018 AUSTRALASIAN NETWORK FOR ECOLOGY AND TRANSPORTATION CONFERENCE

John Seidel

NSW Office of Environment and Heritage



# The journey so far – NSW context

OEH has been involved in negotiating offsets since 1995

Shift to method based approach -

- EOAM (2007)
- Biobanking assessment methodology (2008)
- Biodiversity certification assessment method (2012)
- Framework for Biodiversity Assessment (2014)

Land management and biodiversity conservation reforms (2014-2017)

- Biodiversity Conservation Act (2017)
- Biodiversity Assessment Method (2017)





#### Expanded biodiversity offsets scheme

#### Single assessment method - Biodiversity Assessment Method

Mandatory use of the BAM above set threshold

### **Opportunity for review**



Biodiversity Assessment Method



### **Overview of NSW Biodiversity Offset scheme**

<u>Credit system</u> and application of BAM provides common measure of impact/gain

Comprehensive framework for offset land that includes:

- Accredited assessments
- Ongoing management of land for conservation
- Funding for implementation
- Monitoring, reporting, auditing
- Secured on title







#### 4. LOCATION OF THREATENED FLORA POPULATION

#### EPACRIS PURPURASCENS VAR. PURPURASCENS

Lappointed of the threastaned Galeria perparations on Jacpanisation was identified within the underlead shale landstates Transition Foreign within the subdress particle of MCE during the 2000-EEL reporting permit. An reported perioducity, we MCD place server constraint on the population which is transitional along the subdressment because two separations the south instrument increases.



p 7: Watche wowd recepting



#### Office of Environment & From vegetation strata to growth form



DRAFT: Habitat Hectare Version 2 Automation Marcan January 2014

COLUMN 1

Guide to determining terrestrial habitat quality A lookil for assessing land based offsets under the

and Environmental Oracts Pulicy

sign 1.1 December 2014

#### **Benefits:**

- Consistent field allocation with look-up table means greater assessor repeatability
- Growth form richness can be benchmarked
- Aligns with other jurisdictions





# **Vegetation Integrity (condition)**

Data-driven benchmarks (replaces expert derived)

Continuous non-linear scoring (replaces ordinal 0-3 approach)

Dynamic weighting (replaces static)

New composition (C), structure (S), function (F) attributes

C-S-F sub-index aggregation via geometric mean









# Habitat suitability for threatened species

# Much of the TS assessment processes have been retained

- Focus on improving rigour of data and management needs
- Improved habitat condition assessment













- Greater emphasis on the mitigation hierarchy
- Biodiversity risk weighting based on threat status & response to gain
- Serious and irreversible impact category
- Less 'substitution' of biodiversity values within credit units
- Prescribed biodiversity impacts

Sensitivity to gain - ecosystem credit species (based on the species with the highest sensitivity impacted by the development, or biodiversity certification Sensitivity to Very high Medium High sensitivity loss - ecological Low sensitivity sensitivity sensitivity communities and (x's 2) (x's 1) (x's 3) (x's 1.5) PCTs CEEC or a PCT ≥90% cleared 2.5 2.25 2.0 Very high sensitivity (3) EEC or a PCT ≥70% - <90% 25 20 1.75 1.5 cleared High sensitivity (2) VEC or a PCT ≥50% - <70% cleared 2.25 1.75 1.5 1.25 Moderate sensitivity (1.5) PCT <50% cleared 2.0 1.5 1.25 1 Low sensitivity (x'1)

Table 18: Application of the biodiversity risk weighting - ecosystem credits



9

### **Components of gain**

Averted loss: attribute annual average rate of decline in condition

Management gain: probability of reaching benchmark over a given timeframe (from mandatory management actions for threats and pressures)

Restoration gain: additional credit from active restoration (e.g. sowing/planting of species representative of the PCT, replacement of logs, stags, nest boxes, constructed hollows)





- BAM adopts a probabilistic approach: What is the probability of reaching benchmark over a 20-year timeframe?
- Rate of gain differs among attributes
- Explicit timeframe of 20 years





# **Modifiers to rate of gain**

The rate of gain at each site is modified based on:

- Connectedness of the site (surrounding vegetation cover)
- Site resilience (a low vegetation integrity score)
- Extent of high threat weed cover (key threat)



High threat weed cover



## Ecological outcomes from Biobanking (2010 – 2018)

- 87 approved agreements covering over 10,200 hectares
- Applications for a further 116 agreements (est. 12,000 ha)
- Over 8,000 hectares are TEC representing 39 different ecological communities
- 234 different Plant Community Types (or about 16% of PCT's listed in the NSW classification)
- Credits created for 91 different threatened species



# Area and vegetation condition

Area & condition of vegetation - approved and submitted biobank sites





## Questions

For more information on the NSW Biodiversity Offset Scheme <a href="http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/biodiversity/offsetsscheme.htm">http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/biodiversity/offsetsscheme.htm</a>