THE QUEENSLAND WET TROPICS: A CASE STUDY IN BEST PRACTICE PLANNING THROUGH INTERDISCIPLINARY COLLABORATION

Bio

- Former (roads) engineer.
- Mid-life crisis – natural resource manager/environmental planner.
- Foot in both camps: integrator, generalist, champion?
- Infrastructure providers & regulators seem to have confidence in this approach.
Planner’s Perspectives (1)

• The proponent’s (e.g. Main Roads, Powerlink, private developers) needs:
  – Provision of essential community infrastructure.
  – Certainty regarding approvals.
  – Practical and affordable engineering solutions.
  – Certainty that road ecology works are effective and worth the effort.

Planner’s Perspectives (2)

• The planner’s needs:
  – Data-driven solutions (good science).
  – Integration of engineering and scientific principles.
  – No substitute for avoidance then mitigation then management of impacts.
  – Beware – one size does not fit all. Connectivity needs can vary.

Case Study 1: Kuranda Range Road Upgrade
A: WHY THIS PROJECT?

- INCREASE ROAD CAPACITY
  - Predicted growth – 4% pa
A: WHY THIS PROJECT?

- **INCREASE ROAD CAPACITY**
  - Predicted growth 4% pa
- **SAFETY & RELIABILITY**
  - High accident rate; frequent closures
- **FREIGHT EFFICIENT VEHICLES**
  - 25m B-doubles and 36.5m B-triple
B: Technical challenges (1)

- Working in the Wet Tropics of Queensland World Heritage Area,
- strict environmental protection legislation (national and state):
  - *EPBC Act 1999 (Cwlth)*
  - *Wet Tropics Management Plan 1998 (Qld)*

B: Technical challenges (2)

- steep and rugged terrain,
- known stability problems,
- tropical climate.
Regionally important road and biodiversity corridors

Regionally important competition issues …

Locally important watercourses

High current levels of roadkill
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Mapping fauna habitat and movement needs …

Key corridor and fauna habitat

Fauna connectivity initiatives

Bridge Solutions:
- Fauna connectivity under bridges
- “top down” construction at key locations
- Consider microclimate
1: Avondale Creek Bridge

2: Bridge over north/south ridge

3: Streets Creek Bridge

Construction techniques to protect habitat

- Arch construction …
Little interference with natural surface

Road Ecology Research

- Protect values by design,
- Protect values by management.

Research (Road ecology research)...  
- commenced in 2003,

Detailed design & management strategies  
- informed by road ecology research
C: Research (Road ecology research)...

- commenced in 2003,
- a collaborative program between Main Roads and the Rainforest CRC at JCU,
- Cost:
  - DMR - $480,000
  - CRC - $300,000
- most projects already completed, and
- some >2008/09 wet season.
CRC Research

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Team Leader</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Roadkill and connectivity</td>
<td>Miriam Goosem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Noise penetration and impacts</td>
<td>Greg Dawe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Headlight disturbance</td>
<td>Robyn Wilson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Canopy bridges over wide roads</td>
<td>Robyn Wilson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Rehabilitation of cuttings and embankments</td>
<td>Nigel Tucker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Microclimate under bridges</td>
<td>Steve Turton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Removing pollutants</td>
<td>Bernd Lottermoser</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**1: Roadkill & Connectivity**

- **Tasks:**
  - Weekly records of killed animals
  - Plot locations
  - Investigate effects of traffic, seasonality
  - Compare with 1989 research

- **Outcomes:**
  - Recommend locations of fauna underpasses and overpasses
  - Design “Fence & Funnel” strategy

![Current roadkill](image1)

![Current roadkill](image2)
CRC Research 2: Canopy Bridges

• Tasks:
  – Investigate suitability of rope bridges over wide roads (Palmerston Hwy)
  – Monitor changes to home ranges of target fauna

• Outcomes:
  – Input into design of “Fence & Funnel” strategy
CRC Research
3: Rehabilitation & Restoration

• Tasks:
  – Trial native plants for use in rehabilitating existing road, embankments and cuttings
  – Investigate planting of Green Terramesh & Gabions

• Outcomes:
  – Restoration Strategy (seed procurement, road rehabilitation and drainage works, planting, construction, and maintenance)
1: Green Terramesh® Construction details

2: Planted Gabions

Kuranda field trial
2: Planted gabions
CRC Research
4: Microclimate under Bridges

- **Tasks:**
  - Investigate light and moisture conditions under other bridges in the area
  - Undertake additional modeling to determine light needs under Kuranda Bridges

- **Outcomes:**
  - Recommendations for design (gaps for light, irrigation)

![Diagram of microclimate under bridges](image)

![Graph of average annual flux](image)

Lower limit of light needed for plant survival
Minimum width of light gap
Case Study 2: Mission Beach Roads
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Case Study 3: Palmerston Highway
Case Study 4: Cardwell Range
Preserving habitat and reduce fragmentation by use of engineered fills

- Support embankments at steeper angles using gabion or green terramesh units.
- Vegetate the structures (habitat, visual).
- Achieve clearing and fragmentation reduction, improved stability and durability.
Support embankments at steeper angles
Preserving connectivity by use of road bridges and viaducts

- Separate roads and natural areas.
- Consider construction techniques to maintain habitat below.
- Consider viability of remaining vegetation (light, moisture).
Wrap up

• “Environmental design” – ecology is no less important than hydraulics or traffic capacity.
• Involve road ecologists throughout the project – conception, route selection, consideration of alternatives, preliminary and detailed design, investigations, construction, and post-construction.

Wrap up (2)

• Establish trust between road ecologists and engineering designers – fight the big battles first.
• Establish (and treasure!) collaborative relationships between regulators, designers, researchers and planners.
• Monitoring needs (including publishing etc. etc.)
Questions?