A challenge to the status quo...



What do (some) people like about EIA?

- - Better informed statutory decision-making
 - Transparency and accountability, especially for public sector projects
 - Better understanding by proponents of their projects and their environmental settings
 - Review and reshaping of project concepts in the light of EIA study conclusions
 - High quality community and stakeholder engagement
 - Co-ordinated and integrated assessment and approvals procedures
 - Better environmental outcomes



Why don't people like EIA?



- EIA costs too much
- EIA takes too long
- EIA causes stress and division in communities
- who prepares the EIS (or equivalent)
- EIA leads to foregone conclusions, especially for government projects
- Proponents are inequitably well-resourced relative to community objectors.



Challenging the status quo...



Questions for discussion

Do we believe that the criticisms are valid? At all? A little bit? Substantially?

How best can we frame a response or alternative view, to reinforce the strength, value and relevance of EIA and to argue its positives for all the players in the EIA space?



Where to from here???

